

**Item D. 2**                      **06/00114/FUL**                      **Permit Full Planning Permission**

**Case Officer**                      **Mrs Helen Lowe**

**Ward**                                      **Euxton South**

**Proposal**                              **Extension to existing livestock building.**

**Location**                              **Rosehill Farm Dane Hall Lane Euxton LancashirePR7 6ER**

**Applicant**                              **Mr J Ashcroft**

**Background**                              This application proposes the erection of a lean to style extension to an existing livestock building, granted permission in 2004. The proposed extension would measure 9.1m by 27.4m by 3.6m eaves height. The extension would be constructed from Yorkshire boarding and concrete block work with a cement fibre roof. Separate applications have also been submitted for a further agricultural building and a replacement agricultural building (see below).

**Planning Policy**                              The application site lies within the Green Belt, as defined in the Adopted Chorley Borough Local Plan Review. The following policies from the Local Plan are considered relevant:

GN5: Building Design and Retaining Existing Landscape Features and Natural Habitats  
DC1: Development in the Green Belt  
EP7: Agricultural Development

**Planning History**                              The following planning history is considered relevant:  
9/00/00972/COU Change of use of barn to dwelling  
Withdrawn 28.02.01  
  
9/01/00444/COU Change of use of barn to dwelling including single storey rear and side extensions  
Approved 01.08.01  
  
9/02/00370/AGR Erection of one agricultural building  
Prior approval not required 16.05.02  
  
9/02/00567/FUL Erection of general purpose agricultural/livestock building  
Withdrawn 12.08.02  
  
9/04/00552/FUL Erection of agricultural building  
Withdrawn 30.06.04  
  
9/04/01163/FUL Erection of agricultural building  
Approved 06.04.05  
  
9/06/00115/FUL Erection of calf rearing shed  
Awaiting determination  
  
9/06/00116/FUL Replacement agricultural livestock/store/workshop building  
Awaiting determination

**Consultees Responses**                              Lancashire County Council Highways: no objections  
  
Head of Environmental Services: no objections  
  
Lancashire County Council Land Agent:

- The area of agricultural land owned by Mr Ashcroft and his wife remains at 7 hectares. He rents 8 hectares from Mrs Ashcroft's parents nearby and 12 hectares at Leyland. In addition he has taken on a further 12 hectares at Leyland and 14 hectares near Mawdesely for taking a single hay crop.
- The applicant operates a commercial cattle rearing enterprise taking in calves predominantly heifers 1 to 2 weeks of age or 8 weeks of age. The calves are reared through to finish weight at 22 to 24 months of age. At present there are in the region of 80 head of cattle consisting of the range of ages. It is their intention to operate a system where they can sell a finished beast each week and on this basis are proposing to keep in the region of 100 head.
- There exists two groups of buildings on site: there are three original buildings which existed at the time when Mr and Mrs Ashcroft purchased the farm, it was evident the buildings are reaching the end of their design life without expanding upon some significant repairs/replacement measures and two recently erected portal framed buildings;
- The proposed development would allow the applicant to achieve his twofold objective being to have undercover facilities to allow for expansion of the commercial cattle rearing enterprise and to replace existing facilities on site which now provide a very limited agricultural use to him owing to their inherent design as well as their age. I consider that the applicant has demonstrated his commitment to operate a commercial cattle rearing enterprise over the last three years which he has expanded as new undercover facilities have been built on the unit.
- The proposed development will allow for further expansion and will I consider, provide sufficient facilities for applicant to operate at 100 head of cattle together with allowing some scope for expansion. The additional land taken on demonstrates the applicants intention to expand his operations.
- I do not doubt that the applicant has genuine farming intentions, however the actual area of land owned remains unchanged to that when he acquired the farm. It appears likely the applicant will be able to continue to farm the same area of land for the foreseeable time (although in discussion the applicant did not indicate that the land was held on a secure tenancy).
- The proposed design of the three buildings are appropriate for their intended agricultural use.
- The proposed development are contained within the existing farmstead area and I consider the site for these are appropriate.

### **Third Party Representations**

One letter of objection to the proposals has been received, they make the following comments:

- The applicant has included land that he doesn't own within his land ownership boundary;
- The building is to be constructed over a drainage ditch;
- More buildings are going to lead to an increase in noise;
- The number of buildings newly built, being rebuilt and proposed to be built seem an excessive number for the 8 acres of land owned by the applicant;
- The number and size of vehicles visiting the property is damaging the road and more buildings would mean more vehicles using the road

### **Applicant's Case**

The applicant has put forward the following in support of their application: The applicant has recently acquired an additional 35 acres (14 HA) of grazing land and an additional 40 acres (16 HA) of conservation land. Both of these arrangements are on a secure tenure.

The applicant fattens store cattle bought in as calves and sells them on as finished cattle at 22-24 months. The numbers on the farm have increased due to the additional land and there are now up to 100 head at any one time of varying ages.

The existing range of buildings at the farm are a mixture of dilapidated traditional structures, with only two new buildings of modern design. The applicant has been using all the buildings to rear the cattle, but has had a very high mortality rate, especially among the young stock due to the poor state of the buildings. It is proposed that the two main buildings in the centre of the yard be demolished and replaced with a new smaller purpose built structure to accommodate a mixed use as detailed on the plans, a lean to building attached to the new livestock building and a separate calf rearing building, purpose built to meet the needs of young calves.

These improvements will not only satisfy the welfare issues of the livestock, but they will also have an impact on the overall condition of the farm.

## **Assessment**

It has become apparent during the assessment of the application that there is a discrepancy between the size of the existing building shown on the approved plans for 04/01163 and the plans of the existing building submitted as part of the current proposal. The applicant has been asked to clarify the matter.

The main issues to consider in determining the application are agricultural need, landscape impact and impact on neighbour amenity

### *Agricultural need*

It is considered that the comments of the County Land Agent provide adequate justification of agricultural need for the proposal.

### *Neighbour amenity*

The nearest residential property (excluding the applicants house) is Rosehill House, which is 60m to the north east of the building to be extended. The extension would be located on the south elevation of the existing building and would therefore not be visible to the occupants of Rosehill House Given the nature of the location I am satisfied that the proposed building would not detract significantly from the visual amenity of neighbours As the Head of Environmental Services has raised no objections to the proposal, therefore I am satisfied that the proposal is unlikely to cause significant detriment for neighbours by reason of noise, smells, etc.

### *Landscape Impact*

The proposed extension is to be constructed from materials to match the existing agricultural building and designed in keeping with the existing modern buildings on the site. It will sit within an area which forms part of the existing complex of buildings and hardstandings. It is not considered that the proposed extension would give rise to any undue harm to the landscape.

## **Conclusion**

Subject to the receipt of satisfactory amended plans the proposal is recommended for approval.

**Recommendation: Permit Full Planning Permission  
Conditions**

..

---